

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,847	09/22/2003	Victor Prokofiev	P16827	1397
28062	7590 04/26/2004		EXAMINER	
BUCKLEY,	MASCHOFF, TALWA	OLIVA, CARMELO B		
5 ELM STREET NEW CANAAN, CT 06840			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2831	
			DATEMAN ED. 04/0/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	()·	<i>)</i>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/667,847	PROKOFIEV, VICTOR					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carmelo Oliva	2831					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .						
a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	<ul><li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li><li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li></ul>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached One	Le Action of format 10-102.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		(a)-(d) or (f).					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>							
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio</li></ol>		ived in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/667,847

Art Unit: 2831

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not provide a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the invention including that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure:

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,847 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1,13,15,17, and 19 it is unclear what is meant by "higher/lower quality dielectric material". The "quality" could be referring to any characteristic of the material, therefore as long as the dielectric materials differ in any way, one material could be considered "higher quality" than the other material.

In claims 11 and 18, it is unclear what constitutes a more or less homogeneous dielectric material. This characteristic is not clearly pointed out and described within the applicant's specification.

The claims have been examined as best understood.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamura et al. (US 4,949,224).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,847

Art Unit: 2831

Regarding claims 1,13,15,17 and 19, Yamamura et al. discloses in Fig. 4 an apparatus and method comprising a first printed circuit board portion 23 of a lower quality dielectric material (ceramic) and a second printed circuit board portion 25 of a higher quality dielectric (polyimide, epoxy, polyester). Both portions having traces thereon.

Regarding claims 2,3,14,16 and 20, there is a solder joint 22 interface area between a device 21, the first portion 23, and the second portion 25.

Regarding claim 4, the device if a package.

Regarding claim 5 the entire device 21 is coupled to the second portion 25.

Regarding claim 6, part of the device 21 is not electrically coupled to the second portion 25.

Regarding claim 7, the second portion 25 includes a hole through which the device 21 is coupled to the first portion 23.

Regarding claim 8, part of the device 21 is electrically coupled to both first and second portions.

Regarding claim 9, a second portion trace 28 coupled to the solderjoint interface.

Regarding claim 10, another device is coupled to the second portion (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 11 and 18, the higher quality dielectric material is more homogeneous than the lower quality dielectric material.

Regarding claim 12, the second portion 25 is thinner than the first portion 23.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/667,847

Art Unit: 2831

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gessaman (US 5,559,676), Bond et al. (US 5,642,261), and Yatsuda (US 6,362,518) show packages having multiple circuit board portions.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carmelo Oliva whose telephone number is (571)272-1982. The examiner can normally be reached flexible hours on Monday through Friday with every other Wednesday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard, can be reached at (571)272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Dean A. Reichard Rvisory patent examine

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**